

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,084	03/26/2004	Scott David Thomas	GP-303346	9728	
LAURA C. HA	7590 04/12/2007	EXAMINER			
General Motors Corporation			BROWN, DREW J		
Legal Staff, Ma P.O. Box 300	ail Code 482-C23-B21	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Detroit, MI 482	265-3000		3616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/811,084	THOMAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Drew J. Brown	3616	

	Drew J. Brown	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 04 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			<b>.</b>			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN Th 06.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	on ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing o	it of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see N		ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		-	the issues for			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,3,5,10,12,13,15 and 16</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,9,14 and 18-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6-8,11 and 17</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		N. C. C. A				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A de la NOT alaca Aba analizatione	in anadition for allows				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
PAUL N. DICKSON SUPFRISORY PATENT EVANAMED						
OUPPHVISHEV	UNILKIT EVALUKED					

SURT PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment contains new limitations not previously considered which would require further consideration. The new limitation is that the first broad portion extends from the cap portion "opposite said rod portion."